

Carol Stangel

01/30/2004 10:43 AM

To: britt@cetos.org, cbaker@gowanco.com,
cwbrickey@aol.com, pbright@abcbirds.org, screscenzi@steptoe.com, lelworth@agcenter.org,
ccqc1946@pacbell.net, Ted.Head@us.nufarm.com, Skellner@cpsa.org, glibman@att.net,
lori@yurok.com, therese.murtagh@usda.gov, perreault.peg@epa.gov,
pquinn@theaccordgroup.com, rosenberg@pestworld.org, rutzs@doacs.state.fl.us,
TroyS@peta.org, julie.spagnoli.b@bayer.com, nefcon@aol.com, warren@cpda.com,
jvroom@croplifeamerica.org, rmcallister@croplifeamerica.org, wichterlan@lcmcd.org,
eolson@nrdc.org

cc: Jay Ellenberger/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Susan
Lewis/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Vivian Prunier/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard
Dumas/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Margie Fehrenbach/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject: Agenda for PPDC Registration Review Workgroup
Meeting

Dear Workgroup Member,

We hope you will be able to attend the PPDC Registration Review Workgroup meeting on Monday,
February 2, 2004, from 1:00 pm to 5:00 pm, in the Fishbowl (Room 1110), Crystal Mall 2, 1921 Jefferson
Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia.

Teleconferencing

Teleconferencing is available for Workgroup members who are unable to come to the meeting. The call
in number is 1-866-587-0111, and the meeting number is *1378434* (please enter the * key before and
after the number).

Agenda

I. Welcome and Introductions ... Jay Ellenberger and Susan Lewis, EPA

II. Review of Minutes from Last Conference Call ... Vivian Prunier, EPA



draft minutes January 6 2004 meeting.w



draft minutes January 6 2004 meeting.d

III. Discussion of Five Issues (*see further information below*)

What action(s) initiate a pesticide's registration review?

Early submission of information.

Cost of the registration review program.

Benefits of the program.

What is a registration review decision?

IV. Next Steps

Five Issues for Discussion

1. *What action(s) initiates a pesticide's registration review?* Before expending resources in a pesticide's registration review, the Agency would want to know whether registrants intend to support the pesticide and each of its uses. Other stakeholders might want to know this as well. The Agency is seeking advice on options for getting this type of information up-front in a way that is efficient for both the Agency and the public.

Please identify options for initiating a registration review and present the pros and cons of each.

2. *Early submission of test data and other information to support a pesticide's registration review.* The Agency wants to receive pertinent information early in the registration review process in order to avoid redoing its risk assessments. Such rework delays completion of the pesticide's review and ties up scarce resources.

Please identify issues that registrants and other stakeholders might have regarding "voluntary" submission of test data or other pertinent information.

Please discuss possible approaches to overcome any identified barriers or concerns.

3. *Cost of the Registration Review Program.* The Agency's initial assessment of the economic impact of the registration review program must accompany the proposed procedural regulations for registration review. These analyses are required by the Paperwork Reduction Act, Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act, as well as other legislation and Executive Orders.

There are a range of options for this program, so the Agency would estimate the costs associated with each in order to make an informed decision.

Please discuss the nature and range of costs that registrants and other stakeholders might incur when this program is implemented.

4. *Benefits of the program.* What are the benefits of implementing a registration review program and who receives these benefits? There are a range of options for this program, so the Agency would estimate the benefits associated with each in order to make an informed decision.

5. *What is a registration review decision?* In a pesticide's registration review, the Agency would determine whether a pesticide meets the requirements for registration under FIFRA section 3(c)(5). Additionally, FIFRA section 3(g)(2)(A) stipulates that EPA shall use its authority under FIFRA section 3(c)(2)(B) to require submission of data when such data are necessary for registration review. The workgroup has discussed a number of possible scenarios that might

represent the completion of registration review. Identify possible options along with their pros and cons.

Thank you for your continued participation in the PPDC Registration Review Workgroup.

Carol Stangel
Communications Officer
Special Review and Reregistration Division
Office of Pesticide Programs
US EPA
Phone: 703-308-8007
Fax: 703-308-8005
Email: stangel.carol@epa.gov